



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

W

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,350	12/12/2003	Linwood Register	11897.11	3742

21999 7590 08/08/2007  
KIRTON AND MCCONKIE  
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE,  
SUITE 1800  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER

BOVEJA, NAMRATA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3622

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/08/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/735,350	<b>Applicant(s)</b> REGISTER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Namrata Boveja	<b>Art Unit</b> 3622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                         |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                                       | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                              | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/22/04</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                                |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-11 are presented for examination.

#### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112**

2. The second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 is directed to requirements for the claims:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

There are two separate requirements set forth in this paragraph:

- (A) the claims must set forth the subject matter that applicants regard as their invention; and
- (B) the claims must particularly point out and distinctly define the metes and bounds of the subject matter that will be protected by the patent grant.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, since the recitation that an enterprise media distribution system comprises of a system administration team, renders the claim indefinite, because it is unclear what the Applicant means by comprising of a system administration team. It is unclear if the Applicant is trying to claim computer systems or people using the computer systems. It is interpreted to mean that the Applicant is trying to claim computer systems that can be used by people, and the use of computers by people is just an intended use. Appropriate correction is required.

#### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said

subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Virine et al (Patent Number 6,604,138 hereinafter Virine) in view of Official Notice.

In reference to claim 1, Virine teaches an enterprise media distribution system comprising: a system administration team (i.e. computers usable by the users of the computers) (Figure 1); an enterprise media distribution platform or framework managed by the system administration team comprising (note that managed by the system administration team is not given any weight, since that's an intended use in terms of who is managing the system and not the invention itself): one or more client player devices (i.e. personal unit) placed at each business location (i.e. a gym or club), each of the client player devices being independently supported and in communication with an internal audio/visual system installed in the facility at the respective business location (col. 5 lines 51-62, col. 6 lines 27-31, col. 9 lines 25-26, and Figure 2B); an independent customizable media broadcast supported on each of the client player devices and comprising audio, visual, and/or informational media content thereon that may be specific to each of the particular business locations in which the client player device(s) is/are located (i.e. different targeted content is presented to different users) (col. 1 lines 33-37, col. 2 lines 26-61, col. 4 lines 42-55, col. 6 lines 1-19, col. 7 lines 11-35, and Figures 1 and 4); a chain network system having at least one chain server servicing the business chain (i.e. or a business location itself, if there is just one location of that business), said chain server in communication with each client player device in operation in the business chain (i.e. or a business location itself, if there is just one

location of that business) by way of a network configuration facilitating the exchange of information between the client player devices and the chain server (col. 4 lines 7 to col. 5 lines 31); and a central server system comprising one or more central servers in communication with the chain servers by way of a computer network configuration facilitating the exchange of information between the chain and central servers (col. 4 lines 7 to col. 5 lines 31 and Figures 1 and 3B).

Virine does not teach a plurality of business chains, each comprising a plurality of facilities at respective business locations. In reference to claim 1, Official Notice is taken it that it is old and well known to provide client player devices at plurality of business chains with multiple facilities and locations such as sending messages for display to multiple franchises simultaneously. Since, the client player devices are all connected to a network, whether the devices are located in one room of a building, in multiple rooms of building, or in different buildings, they are able to receive the content from the central server through the network and therefore making it possible for people in remote locations to gain access to information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include in Virine's invention, the capability of interacting with client player devices in a plurality of business chains in a plurality of facilities and respective locations to enable anybody connected to the network regardless of the location to receive appropriate information.

4. In reference to claim 2, Virine teaches the enterprise media distribution system of wherein said customizable media broadcast comprises media selected from the group

Art Unit: 3622

consisting of, audio data, video data fed to video screens located at a business location, advertisements, announcements, and other informational content (col. 4 lines 56-67, col. 6 lines 1-20, and col. 7 lines 10-34).

5. In reference to claims 3 and 10, Virine teaches the enterprise media distribution system of wherein said chain server comprises an updating server (col. 7 lines 4-9 and 36-40 and col. 8 lines 45-56).

6. In reference to claims 4 and 11, Virine teaches the enterprise media distribution system of wherein said chain server comprises a caching server (i.e. local server stores content) (col. 5 lines 15-31 and col. 6 lines 10-12).

7. In reference to claim 5, Virine teaches an in-store media broadcasting system comprising: a central server having a data layer (col. 7 lines 52-63); a chain server having a data layer (col. 5 lines 10-14 and 51-56), wherein the chain server is connected to the central server via a computer network (col. 4 lines 7 to col. 5 lines 31); a client player device having a presentation layer for use in providing and controlling a customizable media broadcast (col. 5 lines 51-62, col. 6 lines 27-31, col. 9 lines 25-26, and Figure 2B), the client player device in communication with the chain server (col. 4 lines 7 to col. 5 lines 31); and an interface layer that selectively interacts with the data layer and the presentation layer, wherein control over the broadcast is experienced locally at the client player device (i.e. touch screen) (col. 4 lines 42-55 and col. 7 lines 23-35).

8. In reference to claim 6, Virine teaches a method for establishing an enterprise media distribution system for broadcasting media at one or more business locations

existing within a business chain, said method comprising: equipping a business chain with at least one chain server operable within a chain server system (i.e. providing a local server at a business) (Figures 1 and 3B), said chain server operated and managed by business chain personnel (who manages the chain server is intended use and not given weight); connecting, via a computer network, said chain server to a central server system comprising at least one central server to facilitate the exchange of information between the chain server and the central server (col. 4 lines 7 to col. 5 lines 31 and Figures 1 and 3B), said central server comprising broadcast data retrievable by said chain server (col. 8 lines 32-56 and Figure 3B); connecting one or more client player devices located at respective remote business chain locations to said chain server system via a computer network to facilitate the exchange of broadcast and broadcast-related data between said chain server and said client player devices (col. 4 lines 7 to col. 5 lines 31 and Figure 2); causing each of said client player devices to communicate with the chain server to exchange broadcast and broadcast-related data, said client player devices capable of running independent, customizable in-store media broadcasts (col. 4 lines 7 to col. 5 lines 31, col. 7 lines 11-58, and Figure 2).

9. In reference to claim 7, Virine teaches the method wherein said chain server system comprises a local area network or business Intranet (col. 2 lines 44-61 and col. 14 lines 12-17).

10. In reference to claim 8, Virine teaches the method, wherein said customizable in-store media broadcast is controlled substantially by said chain server (col. 4 lines 56-67, col. 6 lines 1-12, col. 7 lines 3-35, and col. 9 lines 50 to col. 10 lines 25).

11. In reference to claim 9, Virine teaches the method wherein said customizable in-store media broadcast is controlled substantially by said client player device (col. 7 lines 26-35 and 54-60).

**Conclusion**


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Namrata (Pinky) Boveja whose telephone number is 571-272-8105. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30 am to 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on 571-272-6724. The Central FAX number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 1866-217-9197 (toll-free).



N.B.

July 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2007

  
**RETTA YEHDEGA**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**